Application No.: 10/720,024 Filed: November 21, 2003

Page 27 of 31

REMARKS

Status of the Claims

Claims 1-31 are pending in the above-identified application. Claims 9-17 are

indicated to contain allowable subject matter. Claims 1, 5, 16-18, 23, and 27-31 are

amended. Claims 2-4, 9-15, 19-22, and 24-26 are original. Claims 6-8 are canceled.

Support for the amendments is found throughout the specification. Accordingly, the

amendments do not introduce new matter into the above-identified application.

Status of the Specification

The typographical and nomenclature errors that appeared in Claim 16 also appeared

in the specification, on page 34, line 19, and ends on page 36, line 6. The revisions to correct

these errors introduce no new subject matter to this application. Applicants thank Examiner

Lee for recommending these revisions.

Claim Objections

The Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") objected to Claims 1, 16-18, 23, 27, and

29-31 as follows:

(1) Claims 1, 18, 23, 27, and 29-31 are objected to because of "inorganic group" and

"organometallic group" substituents. In view of the above amendments, Applicants assert

that this objection is obviated. Support for the amendments can be found in the specification

on page 12, lines 6-12. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that this objection be

withdrawn.

(2) Claim 16 is objected to because of chemical nomenclature errors. Applicants

assert that this objection is obviated in view of the above amendments and respectfully

request that this objection be withdrawn.

WCSR 1641177v1

Application No.: 10/720,024 Filed: November 21, 2003

Page 28 of 31

(3) Claim 17 is objected to because clay materials were recited as cocatalysts.

Applicants assert that this rejection is obviated in view of the above amendment and

respectfully request that this objection be withdrawn.

Rejection Under 35 USC § 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 27, 28, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite. Respectfully, this rejection is traversed.

The PTO states that the claimed compositions are not catalysts, consisting essentially

of metallocene and support, but with no co-activator/co-catalyst component. Applicants

respectfully disagree. On page 2, lines 5-8, of the specification, "[i]t was discovered that

certain types of metallocene compounds can be contacted with a chemically-treated solid

oxide to form a catalyst composition for the polymerization of olefins, without the need for

any cocatalysts..." See also, for example, page 7, lines 19-22, "...the catalyst composition

comprises at least one hydrocarbyl-substituted metallocene compound and a chemically-

treated solid oxide, and does not require the presence of a cocatalyst to polymerize olefins."

See also Table I, on page 52, showing polymerization data using the catalyst compositions of

the claimed invention. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of

Claims 27, 28, and 31 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, be withdrawn.

Rejections Under 35 USC § 102

Claims 1, 2, 4-8, and 18-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated

by U.S. Patent No. 6,376,415 to McDaniel et al. ("McDaniel '415"). Respectfully, this

rejection is obviated by the above amendments.

McDaniel '415 discloses "[a] process to produce a catalyst composition, said process

comprising contacting at least one organometal compound, at least one organoaluminum

compound, and at least one treated solid oxide compound..." (Emphasis added). Claim 1,

column 18, lines 47-51. See also McDaniel '415 on column 1, lines 58-64. McDaniel '415

is silent with respect to a catalyst composition in the absence of an organoaluminum

WCSR 1641177v1

Application No.: 10/720,024 Filed: November 21, 2003

Page 29 of 31

compound. Thus, *McDaniel '415* does not teach or suggest every element of the claimed invention. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of Claims 1, 2, 4-8, and 18-31 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) in view of *McDaniel '415* be withdrawn.

Claims 1, 2, 4-8, and 18-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,395,666 to McDaniel et al. ("McDaniel '666"). Respectfully, this rejection is obviated by the above amendments.

McDaniel '666 discloses "[a] process to produce a catalyst composition, said process comprising contacting respectively organometal compound, at least one organoaluminum compound, and a fluorided solid oxide compound..." (Emphasis added). Claim 1, column 21, lines 15-19. See also McDaniel '666 on column 1, lines 62-67, and column 12, lines 54-57. McDaniel '666 is silent with respect to a catalyst composition in the absence of organoaluminum. Thus, McDaniel '666 does not teach or suggest every element of the claimed invention. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of Claims 1, 2, 4-8, and 18-31 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) in view of McDaniel '666 be withdrawn.

Claims 1-8, 20-23, 26, and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,667,274 to Hawley et al. ("Hawley"). Respectfully, this rejection is obviated by the above amendments.

Hawley discloses methods for producing a catalyst composition, including a "process comprising simultaneously contacting at least one treated solid oxide compound, at least one organometal compound, at least one organoaluminum compound, and at least one alpha olefin to produce the catalyst composition." (Emphasis added). Column 2, lines 62-67. See also Hawley on column 1, line 64, to column 2, line 18. Hawley is silent with respect to a catalyst composition in the absence of organoaluminum. Thus, Hawley does not teach or suggest every element of the claimed invention. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of Claims 1-8, 20-23, 26, and 29-31 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) in view of Hawley be withdrawn.

Application No.: 10/720,024 Filed: November 21, 2003

Page 30 of 31

Claims 1, 4, 18-19, 21-23, and 25-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being

anticipated by Organometallics, Ahn et al. ("Ahn"). Respectfully, this rejection is obviated

by the above amendments.

Ahn describes ethylene and propylene homopolymerization using an organozirconium

complex supported on sulfated zirconia. See Ahn, pages 1790-91 and 1800-01. Ahn is silent

with respect to other chemically-treated solid oxides, other solid oxide compounds, and other

electron-withdrawing anions. Ahn does not teach or suggest solid oxide compounds other

than zirconia, and thus does not teach or suggest every element of the claimed invention.

Support for the amendments to the claims can be found in the specification on page 22, lines

7-15, for example. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of Claims

1, 4, 18-19, 21-23, and 25-31 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) in view of Ahn be withdrawn.

Rejections Based on Obviousness-Type Double Patenting

Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, and 20-22 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created

doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 38-45 of

co-pending Application No. 10/797,673. Applicants assert that this provisional rejection is

obviated in view of the above amendments and respectfully request that the rejection be

withdrawn.

WCSR 1641177v1

Application No.: 10/720,024 Filed: November 21, 2003

Page 31 of 31

CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully assert that the objections and rejections as set forth in the Office Action have been fully addressed and overcome. Applicants further assert that all Claims are in condition for allowance and request that an early notice of allowance be issued. If issues may be resolved by Examiner's Amendment, or clarified in any manner, a call to the undersigned attorney at (404) 879-2433 is respectfully requested.

No fees are believed due, however, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiencies which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Order Account No. 09-0528.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Jeffely B. Arnold Reg. No. 39,540

WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE, PLLC

P.O. Box 7037

Atlanta, Georgia 30357-0037

Direct Telephone: (404) 879-2433 Direct Facsimile: (404) 879-2933 Firm Telephone: (404) 872-7000

Atty. Docket No.: C51757 0680 (51879.0547.5)